

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065  
rvannest@kvn.com  
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325  
canderson@kvn.com  
DANIEL PURCELL - #191424  
dpurcell@kvn.com  
710 Sansome Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704  
Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

KING & SPALDING LLP  
SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
sweingaertner@kslaw.com  
ROBERT F. PERRY  
rperry@kslaw.com  
BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
bbaber@kslaw.com  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-4003  
Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

KING & SPALDING LLP  
DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279  
fzimmer@kslaw.com  
CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323  
csabnis@kslaw.com  
101 Second Street, Suite 2300  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 318-1200  
Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
IAN C. BALLON - #141819  
ballon@gtlaw.com  
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148  
meekrh@gtlaw.com  
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 328-8500  
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
GOOGLE INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA

**GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
Judge: The Honorable William Alsup

Pursuant to the Northern District of California's Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") hereby brings this Administrative Motion to Seal the following material designated by Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered in this case [Dkt. No. 68.]:

- The redacted sections of Google Inc.'s Motion *In Limine* Re Presentation of Financial Evidence and Testimony.

Google takes no position as to whether disclosure of these materials would cause harm to Oracle, and Google would not oppose an order requiring Oracle to make that information public.

Dated: April 15, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest

ROBERT A. VAN NEST  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.